

COVERING A FUNCTION ON THE PLANE BY TWO CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS ON AN UNCOUNTABLE SQUARE - THE CONSISTENCY

MARIUSZ RABUS AND SAHARON SHELAH

ABSTRACT. It is consistent that for every function $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ there is an uncountable set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and two continuous functions $f_0, f_1 : D(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(\alpha, \beta) \in \{f_0(\alpha, \beta), f_1(\alpha, \beta)\}$ for every $(\alpha, \beta) \in A^2$, $\alpha \neq \beta$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose that X is a topological space and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a real-valued function on X . Is there a “large” subset of X such that the restriction $f \upharpoonright X$ is continuous? Obviously, if $A \subseteq X$ is a discrete subspace, then $f \upharpoonright A$ is continuous. Hence in the case when $\text{dom}(f) = \mathbb{R}$, we can always find an infinite subset on which f is continuous. The problem whether there is such “large” set has been investigated by Abraham, Rubin and Shelah in [ARSh]. They proved that it is consistent that every function from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ is continuous on some uncountable set. Later Shelah [Sh 473] showed that every function may be continuous on a non-meager set.

In this paper we consider functions on the plane, $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. The reasonable question to ask in this case is: is there a “large” set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that on $A \times A$ the function f can be cover by two continuous functions? Note that we could not hope for f to be just continuous on $A \times A$, e.g., if g is a Sierpinski partition, then for every uncountable set A , g is not continuous on $A \times A$. The main result of this paper is the following theorem. For technical reasons we consider squares without the diagonal, i.e. for a set A we consider $D(A) = \{(x, y) : x, y \in A, x \neq y\}$.

Theorem . *Assume $2^{\aleph_l} = \aleph_{l+1}$ for $l < 4$, and $\diamond_s(\aleph_4, \aleph_1, \aleph_0)$, see below. Then there is a forcing notion P which preserves cardinals and cofinalities and such that in V^P , $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_4$ and for every function $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ there is an uncountable set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and two continuous functions $f_0, f_1 : D(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(\alpha, \beta) \in \{f_0(\alpha, \beta), f_1(\alpha, \beta)\}$ for every $(\alpha, \beta) \in D(A)$.*

The proof breaks down into two parts. In Section 2, we prove the consistency of a guessing principle, diamond for systems. Then, in Section 3, we give the proof of the theorem.

Remark . (1) We can replace $\aleph_0$ by any $\mu = \mu^{<\mu}$.

(2) Our main goal was to prove the consistency of the statement in the theorem with $2^{\aleph_0} < \aleph_\omega$. We get $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_4$ naturally from the proof, but the values $\aleph_3$ or $\aleph_2$ may be possible.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 03E35.

Key words and phrases. continuous functions, forcing.

Research supported by The Israel Science Foundation administered by The Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities. Publication No. 585.

1.1. Notation. We use standard set-theoretic notation. Below we list some frequently used symbols.

- For A, B subsets of ordinals of the same order type, $OP_{B,A}$ is the order preserving isomorphism from A to B .
- If C is a set of ordinals, then $(C)'$ denotes the set of accumulation points.
- Let λ, χ be cardinals, χ regular. $S_\chi^\lambda = \{\alpha \in \lambda : \text{cf}(\alpha) = \chi\}$.
- For a statement ϕ we define $TV(\phi) = 0$ if ϕ is true, otherwise $TV(\phi) = 1$.
- $\mathbb{R} = {}^\omega 2$.
- If M is a model, $X \subseteq M$, then $Sk(X)$ is the Skolem hull of X in M .
- $\mathcal{L}[\kappa, \theta]$ is a ‘universal’ vocabulary of cardinality $\kappa^{<\theta}$, arity $< \theta$.

2. DIAMOND FOR SYSTEMS

In this section we prove the consistency of a guessing principle, diamond for systems $\diamond_s$.

Definition 2.1. A sequence $\bar{M} = \langle M_u : u \in [B]^{\leq 2} \rangle$ is a system of models (of some fixed language) if:

- (1) $M_u \subseteq \text{Ord}$, $B \subseteq \text{Ord}$,
- (2) $B \cap M_u = u$ for every $u \in [B]^{\leq 2}$,
- (3) for every $u, v \in [B]^{\leq 2}$, $|u| = |v|$, the models M_u and M_v are isomorphic and OP_{M_u, M_v} is the isomorphism from M_v onto M_u , $OP_{M_u, M_v}(v) = u$,
- (4) for every $u, v \in [B]^{\leq 2}$, $M_u \cap M_v \subseteq M_{u \cap v}$,
- (5) if $|u| = |v|$, $u' \subseteq u$, $v' = \{\alpha \in v : (\exists \beta \in u')(|\beta \cap u| = |\alpha \cap v|)\}$, then $OP_{M_{u'}, M_{v'}} \subseteq OP_{M_u, M_v}$, and $OP_{M_u, M_u} = \text{id}_{M_u}$, and if $|w| = |u|$, then $OP_{M_u, M_v} \circ OP_{M_v, M_w} = OP_{M_u, M_w}$.

Remark . See [Sh 289] on the existence of “nice” systems of models for λ a sufficiently large cardinal, e.g., measurable. Here we do not use large cardinals, and try to get a model in which the continuum is small, i.e., less than $\aleph_\omega$. For this we need a suitable guessing principle.

Definition 2.2 (Diamond for systems $\diamond_s(\lambda, \sigma, \kappa, \theta)$). Let $\{C_\alpha : \alpha \in \lambda\}$ be a square sequence on λ . $\langle \bar{M}^\alpha : \alpha \in W \rangle$ is a $\diamond_s(\lambda, \sigma, \kappa, \theta)$ sequence, (or $\diamond_s(\lambda, \sigma, \kappa, \theta)$ -diamond for systems) if:

- (A) $W \subseteq \lambda$ and for every $\alpha \in W$, $\bar{M}^\alpha = \langle M_u^\alpha : u \in [B_\alpha]^{\leq 2} \rangle$ is a system of models, M_u^α is a model of cardinality κ , universe $\subseteq \alpha$, vocabulary of cardinality $\leq \kappa$, arity $< \theta$, a subset of $\mathcal{L}[\kappa, \theta]$.
- (B) $B_\alpha \subseteq \alpha = \sup(B_\alpha)$, $\text{otp}(B_\alpha) = \sigma$, so $\sigma = \text{cf}(\alpha)$.
- (C) if M is a model with universe λ , vocabulary of cardinality $\leq \kappa$, arity $< \theta$, a subset of $\mathcal{L}[\kappa, \theta]$, then for stationarily many $\alpha \in W$ for all $u \in [B_\alpha]^{\leq 2}$, $M_u^\alpha \prec M$,
- (D) if $\alpha, \beta \in W$ and $\text{otp}(C_\alpha) < \text{otp}(C_\beta)$, then
 - (i) for some $\zeta \in B_\beta$, $\bigcup \{M_u^\beta : u \in [B_\beta]^{\leq 2}\} - \bigcup \{M_u^\beta : u \in [B_\beta \cap \zeta]^{\leq 2}\}$ is disjoint from $\bigcup \{M_u^\alpha : u \in [B_\alpha]^{\leq 2}\}$,
- (E) if $\alpha \neq \beta$ in W , $\text{otp}(C_\alpha) = \text{otp}(C_\beta)$, then there is a one-to-one map h from $\bigcup_{u \in [B_\alpha]^{\leq 2}} M_u^\alpha$ onto $\bigcup_{u \in [B_\beta]^{\leq 2}} M_u^\beta$, order preserving, mapping B_α onto B_β , M_u^α onto $M_{h(u)}^\beta$ which is the identity on the intersection of these sets and the intersection is an initial segment of $\bigcup_{u \in [B_\alpha]^{\leq 2}} M_u^\alpha$ and $\bigcup_{u \in [B_\beta]^{\leq 2}} M_u^\beta$.

(F) if $\sigma = \kappa$ we may omit σ .

Lemma 2.3. *Assume: $\kappa < \mu < \lambda$ are uncountable cardinals, $\lambda = \chi^+$, $2^\mu = \chi$, $\square_\lambda$, $\diamond_{S_\sigma^\chi}$, $\kappa = \kappa^{<\theta}$, $\mu^\kappa = \mu$, σ, χ, κ regular cardinals.*

Then there exists a diamond for systems on λ , $\diamond_s(\lambda, \sigma, \kappa, \theta)$.

PROOF Let $\bar{C} = \langle C_\gamma : \gamma \in \lambda \rangle$ be a square sequence on λ . We assume that each C_γ is closed unbounded in γ , if γ is a limit. Let $C_\gamma = \{\alpha_\zeta^\gamma : \zeta < \text{otp}(C_\gamma)\}$. First choose a sequence $\langle b_i^\alpha : i < \chi \rangle$ for every $\alpha < \lambda$ such that $b_i^\alpha \subseteq \alpha$, $|b_i^\alpha| < \chi$, b_i^α increasing, continuous in i , $\alpha = \bigcup \{b_i^\alpha : i < \chi\}$. Next choose a_α for $\alpha < \lambda$ such that

- (1) $a_\alpha \subseteq \alpha$,
- (2) if $\text{cf}(\alpha) < \chi$, then $|a_\alpha| < \chi$,
- (3) if $\beta \in (C_\alpha)'$, then $a_\beta \subseteq a_\alpha$,
- (4) if $\beta \in C_\alpha$ and $i = \text{otp}(C_\alpha)$, then $b_i^\beta \subseteq a_\alpha$,
- (5) if $\text{otp}(C_\alpha)$ is a limit of limit ordinals, then $a_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta \in (C_\alpha)'} a_\beta$.

Note that if $\alpha \in S_\chi^\lambda$, then there is a club $C'_\alpha \subseteq C_\alpha$ such that $\langle a_\beta : \beta \in C'_\alpha \rangle$ is an increasing, continuous sequence of subsets of α of cardinality $< \chi$ with union α . Let H_0, H_1 be functions which witness that $\lambda = \chi^+$, i.e., H_0, H_1 are two place functions, for every $\alpha \in [\chi, \lambda)$, $H_0(\alpha, -)$ is a one-to-one functions from α onto χ and $H_1(\alpha, H_0(\alpha, i)) = i$ for every $\alpha \in [\chi, \lambda)$ and $i < \alpha$.

Now by induction on $\alpha < \lambda$ we define the truth value of ' $\alpha \in W$ ', and if we declare it to be true, then we also define $\bar{M}^\alpha$. Suppose we have defined $W \cap \alpha$ and $\bar{M}^\beta$ for $\beta \in W \cap \alpha$. Now consider the following properties of an ordinal $\alpha \in \lambda$.

- (a) $a_\alpha \cap \chi = \text{otp}(C_\alpha)$,
- (b) a_α is closed under H_0 and H_1 ,
- (c) for every $\gamma \in a_\alpha$ we have:
 - (i) if $\text{cf}(\gamma) < \chi$, then $a_\alpha \cap \gamma = b_{\text{otp}(C_\alpha)}^\gamma$ and $C_\gamma \subseteq a_\alpha$ and $\text{otp}(C_\gamma) \leq \text{otp}(C_\alpha)$,
 - (ii) if $\text{cf}(\gamma) = \chi$, then $\sup(a_\alpha \cap \gamma) = \alpha_{\text{otp}(C_\alpha)}^\gamma$ and $C_{\alpha_{\text{otp}(C_\alpha)}^\gamma} \subseteq a_\alpha$,
- (d) $\text{cf}(\alpha) = \sigma$.

If α does not satisfy one of the conditions (a), (b), (c), and (d), then we declare that $\alpha \notin W$. So suppose that α satisfies (a), (b), (c), and (d). Let $\langle M_\zeta : \zeta \in \chi \rangle$ be the diamond sequence for S_σ^χ , i.e., each M_ζ is a model on ζ , vocabulary as above, and for every model M on χ , there are stationarily many $\zeta \in S_\sigma^\chi$, such that $M \cap \zeta = M_\zeta$. We say that M_ζ is suitable if it is of the form $(\zeta, <_\zeta^*, M_\zeta^*)$, where $<_\zeta^*$ is a well-ordering of ζ . For each ζ such that M_ζ is suitable, let $\xi_\zeta = \text{otp}(\zeta, <_\zeta^*)$. Let $h_\zeta : \zeta \rightarrow \xi_\zeta$ be the isomorphism between $(\zeta, <_\zeta^*)$ and $(\xi_\zeta, <)$. Let $M_\zeta^\oplus$ be the model with universe ξ_ζ , such that h_ζ is the isomorphism between M_ζ^* and $M_\zeta^\oplus$. For $\alpha \in \lambda$ let $\zeta(\alpha) = \text{otp}(C_\alpha)$. Consider the following properties of $\alpha \in \lambda$.

- (e) there is a system $\bar{N}^{\zeta(\alpha)} = \langle N_s^{\zeta(\alpha)} : s \in [\bar{B}_{\zeta(\alpha)}]^{\leq 2} \rangle$, $N_s^{\zeta(\alpha)} \prec M_{\zeta(\alpha)}^\oplus$, $\|N_s^{\zeta(\alpha)}\| = \kappa$, $\bar{B}_{\zeta(\alpha)}$ cofinal in $\xi_{\zeta(\alpha)}$, $\text{otp}(\bar{B}_{\zeta(\alpha)}) = \sigma$,
- (f) $\text{otp}(a_\alpha) = \xi_{\zeta(\alpha)}$.

If α does not satisfy (e), and (f), then declare $\alpha \notin W$. So assume that α satisfies (e) and (f). Let $g_\alpha : \xi_{\zeta(\alpha)} \rightarrow a_\alpha$ be the order preserving isomorphism. Let $\bar{M}^\alpha = \langle M_u^\alpha : u \in [B_\alpha]^{\leq 2} \rangle$ be the system of models on a_α , which is isomorphic to $\bar{N}^{\zeta(\alpha)}$ and the isomorphism is g_α . If this system satisfies:

- (g) for every $\beta \in (C_\alpha)'$ there is $\nu \in B_\alpha$ such that $a_\beta \cap \bigcup \{M_u^\alpha : u \in [B_\alpha]^{\leq 2}\} \subseteq \bigcup \{M_u^\alpha : u \in [B_\alpha \cap \nu]^{\leq 2}\}$,

then we declare $\alpha \in W$. This finishes the definition of the diamond for systems sequence, $\langle \bar{M}^\alpha : \alpha \in W \rangle$.

We have to prove that it is as required. Clauses (A) and (B) are clear.

Proof of clause (C). We need the following fact, it is proved essentially in [Sh 300F], but for completeness we give the proof at the end of the section.

Lemma 2.4. *Assume:*

- (1) $\lambda = (2^\mu)^+$, $\mu = \mu^\kappa$, $\kappa = \text{cf}(\kappa) > \aleph_0$, $\kappa^{<\theta} = \kappa$,
- (2) M is a model with universe λ , at most κ functions each with $< \theta$ places and $\leq \kappa$ relations including the well-ordering of λ .

Then: for some club E of λ for every $\delta \in E$ of cofinality $\geq \mu^+$ we can find $I \subseteq \delta = \sup(I)$ and $\langle N_t : t \in [I]^{\leq 2}, s \in I \rangle$ such that:

- (α) $\langle N_t : t \in [I]^{\leq 2} \rangle$ is a system of elementary submodels of M , $\|N_t\| = \kappa$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is a model on λ , C a club on λ . We have to find $\alpha \in C \cap W$ such that $M_u^\alpha \prec \mathcal{A}$ for every $u \in [B_\alpha]^{\leq 2}$. Let $E \subseteq \lambda$ be the club given by Lemma 2.4. W.l.o.g. we can assume that $E \subseteq C'$, where C' is the set of limit points of C , (so if $\delta \in E$, then $C \cap \delta$ is a club in δ). Fix $\delta \in S_\chi^\lambda \cap E$. Let $f_\delta : \delta \rightarrow \chi$ be a bijection and let

$$D_1 = \{\zeta < \chi : \zeta \text{ is a limit, } f_\delta \text{ maps } a_{\alpha_\zeta}^\delta \text{ onto } \zeta\}.$$

D_1 is a σ -club, i.e., unbounded, closed under σ -sequences. Let $\mathcal{A}^{[\delta]}$ be $(\chi, f_\delta''(< \upharpoonright \delta), f_\delta''(\mathcal{A} \upharpoonright \delta))$. Note that by Lemma 2.4 we have a system of submodels on $\mathcal{A} \upharpoonright \delta$, we transfer this system on $\mathcal{A}^{[\delta]}$ by the bijection f_δ and, choosing a subsystem if necessary, we can assume that we have an end-extension system on $\mathcal{A}^{[\delta]}$ which is cofinal in χ , i.e., we have $\bar{N}^* = \langle N_u^* : u \in I \rangle$, $I \subseteq \chi$, $\sup(I) = \chi$, $N_u^* \prec \mathcal{A}^{[\delta]}$ and if $\xi < \zeta$ in I , then $\min(N_{\{\zeta\}}^* \setminus N_\emptyset^*) > \sup(N_{\{\xi\}}^*)$, and if u is an initial segment of v , then N_u^* is an initial segment of N_v^* . Hence the set

$$D_2 = \{\zeta < \chi : \bigcup_{u \in [\zeta \cap I]^{\leq 2}} N_u^* \subseteq \zeta\}$$

is a club of χ and such that for every $\zeta \in D_2$ there is a system of models on ζ , $(\langle N_u^* : u \in [\zeta \cap I]^{\leq 2} \rangle)$.

Note that the set

$$D_3 = \{\zeta < \chi : \alpha_\zeta^\delta \in C \text{ and } \alpha_\zeta^\delta \text{ satisfies conditions (a) - (d)}\}$$

is a σ -club of χ . Note that $\mathcal{A}^{[\delta]}$ is a model on χ . Hence by $\diamond_{S_\sigma^\chi}$, for stationary many $\zeta \in S_\sigma^\chi$ we have guessed it, i.e., the set

$$S = \{\zeta \in S_\sigma^\chi : M_\zeta = \mathcal{A}^{[\delta]} \upharpoonright \zeta\}$$

is stationary. Now if $\zeta \in S \cap (D_1)' \cap D_2 \cap D_3$ then $\alpha_\zeta^\delta \in C$, and α_ζ^δ satisfies conditions (a)-(d). Note that $\zeta(\alpha_\zeta^\delta) = \text{otp}(C_{\alpha_\zeta^\delta}) = \zeta$. Moreover, as $\zeta \in D_1 \cap S$ we have $\xi_\zeta = \text{otp}(a_{\alpha_\zeta^\delta})$, i.e., condition (f) holds. By the construction it follows that condition (e) holds, (the system of submodels on ξ_ζ is isomorphic to the system on $a_{\alpha_\zeta^\delta}$ given by Lemma 2.4). Finally, (g) holds, as $\zeta \in (D_1)'$ and the system of models of $\mathcal{A}^{[\delta]}$ is end-extending.

Hence $\alpha_\zeta^\delta \in W \cap C$, and $\bar{M}^{\alpha_\zeta^\delta}$ is a system of models as required.

Proof of clause (E). Suppose $\alpha, \beta \in W$, $\xi = \text{otp}(C_\alpha) = \text{otp}(C_\beta)$. By the construction, both a_α and a_β are isomorphic to $M_\xi^\oplus$ and the isomorphisms are order preserving functions. Hence a_α is order isomorphic to a_β . Note that $a_\alpha \cap \chi = a_\beta \cap \chi = \xi$. Since both a_α and a_β are closed under H_0 and H_1 it follows that $a_\alpha \cap a_\beta$ is an initial segment of both a_α and a_β .

Proof of clause (D). Suppose that $\alpha, \beta \in W$ and $\text{otp}(C_\alpha) < \text{otp}(C_\beta)$. As above, since a_α and a_β are closed under H_0 and H_1 , it follows that $a_\alpha \cap a_\beta$ is an initial segment of a_α . Let $\gamma = \sup(a_\alpha \cap a_\beta)$. We have four cases, we will show that the first three never occur.

Case 1. $\gamma \in a_\alpha \cap a_\beta$. We can assume that each a_α is closed under successor, so this case can never happen.

Case 2. $\gamma \in a_\alpha - a_\beta$. Note that $C_\gamma \subseteq a_\alpha$. Let $\gamma_1 = \min(a_\beta - \gamma)$. By (c)(i) for a_β it follows that we must have $\text{cf}(\gamma_1) = \chi$. Now by (c)(ii), $\gamma = \sup(a_\beta \cap \gamma_1) = \alpha_{\text{otp}(C_\beta)}^{\gamma_1}$. So $\gamma \in C_{\gamma_1}$ and $\text{otp}(C_\gamma) = \text{otp}(C_\beta)$. Note that $\text{cf}(\gamma) < \chi$. Hence by (c)(i) for a_α we have $\text{otp}(C_\gamma) \leq \text{otp}(C_\alpha)$, a contradiction.

Case 3. $\gamma \notin (a_\alpha \cup a_\beta)$. Let $\gamma_0 = \min(a_\alpha - \gamma)$ and $\gamma_1 = \min(a_\beta - \gamma)$. As above we have $\text{otp}(C_\gamma) = \text{otp}(C_\alpha)$ and $\text{otp}(C_\gamma) = \text{otp}(C_\beta)$, a contradiction.

Case 4. $\gamma \in a_\beta - a_\alpha$. Let $\gamma_0 = \min(a_\alpha - \alpha)$. We have $\text{cf}(\gamma_0) = \chi$ and $\text{otp}(C_\gamma) = \text{otp}(C_\alpha)$, so $C_\gamma \subseteq a_\alpha$. Note that $a_\alpha \cap \gamma = \bigcup_{\zeta \in C_\gamma} (a_\alpha \cap \zeta)$. But for $\zeta \in a_\alpha$ with $\text{cf}(\zeta) < \chi$ we have $a_\alpha \cap \zeta = b_{\text{otp}(C_\alpha)}^\zeta$. Hence $a_\alpha \cap \gamma = \bigcup_{\zeta \in (C_\gamma)'} b_{\text{otp}(C_\alpha)}^\zeta \subseteq a_{\beta_1}$, for some $\beta_1 \in (C_\beta)'$ large enough. Hence by (g) in the definition of the diamond for systems sequence, the conclusion follows.

Proof of Lemma 2.4 We prove slightly more. In addition to the sequence $\langle N_t : t \in [I]^{\leq 2} \rangle$ there is a sequence $\langle N'_{\{\alpha\}} : \alpha \in I \rangle$ such that:

- (β) $N_{\{\alpha\}}, N'_{\{\alpha\}}$ realize the same $L_{\theta, \theta}$ -type over M , for $\alpha \in I$,
- (γ) we have $N'_{\{\alpha\}} \prec N_{\{\alpha\}}$ for $\alpha \in I$ and for $\alpha < \beta$ in I we have $N_{\{\alpha, \beta\}} = \text{Sk}(N_{\{\alpha\}} \cup N'_{\{\beta\}})$,

Remark . (1) Note that for $\alpha < \beta$, $N_{\{\beta\}}$ is not necessarily a subset of $N_{\{\alpha, \beta\}}$.
 (2) The idea of the proof is to define $N_{\{0\}}^*$, $N_{\{1\}}^{* \prime}$ and $N_{\{0,1\}}^*$ (and more, see definition of a witness below). Then we use it as a blueprint and “copy” it many times using elementarity, to obtain a suitable system.

We can assume that M has Skolem functions, even for $L_{\theta, \theta}$. Let χ^* be large enough. Let for $i < \lambda$, $\mathcal{B}_i \prec (H(\chi^*), \in, <_{\chi^*}^*)$ such that $||\mathcal{B}_i|| = 2^\mu < \lambda$, and $M \in \mathcal{B}_i$, $\mathcal{B}_i$ increasing continuous with i , and if $\text{cf}(i) \geq \mu^+$ or i non-limit, then $\mathcal{B}_i \prec_{L_{\mu^+, \mu^+}} (H(\chi^*), \in, <_{\chi^*}^*)$. Let $E = \{\delta < \lambda : \delta \text{ is a limit and } \mathcal{B}_\delta \cap \lambda = \delta\}$, it is a club of λ . Fix $\delta \in E \cap S_{\geq \mu^+}^\lambda$. Note that $\mathcal{B}_\delta \prec_{L_{\mu^+, \mu^+}} (H(\chi^*), \in, <_{\chi^*}^*)$.

We say that $(N_\emptyset^*, N_{\{0\}}^*, N_{\{1\}}^{* \prime}, N_{\{0,1\}}^*, \alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ is a witness if:

- (1) $N_u^* \prec M$, $|N_u^*| = \kappa$, $N_{\{0\}}^* \cap N_{\{1\}}^{* \prime} = N_\emptyset^*$, $N_\emptyset^*, N_{\{0\}}^* \prec M \restriction \mathcal{B}_\delta$, $N_{\{0,1\}}^* = \text{Sk}(N_{\{1\}}^{* \prime} \cup N_{\{0\}}^*)$,
- (2) $N_{\{1\}}^* \cap \mathcal{B}_\delta = N_\emptyset^*$, $\alpha_0 \in N_{\{0\}}^* - N_\emptyset^*$, $\alpha_1 \in N_{\{1\}}^{* \prime} - N_\emptyset^*$,
- (3) if $\alpha \in N_{\{0,1\}}^* \setminus N_{\{1\}}^{* \prime}$, $\beta = \min(N_{\{1\}}^{* \prime} \setminus \alpha)$, then $\text{cf}(\beta) \geq \mu^+$,
- (4) for every $A \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\delta$, $|A| \leq \mu$ there are $N'_{\{1\}} \prec N_{\{1\}}$ and $N_{\{0,1\}}$ such that
 - (a) $N'_{\{1\}}, N_{\{0,1\}} \prec M \cap \mathcal{B}_\delta$,

- (b) $N'_{\{1\}}$ is order isomorphic to $N^*_{\{1\}}'$,
- (c) $N_{\{1\}}$ is order isomorphic to $N^*_{\{0\}}$,
- (d) $OP_{N_{\{0,1\}}, N^*_{\{0,1\}}}$ is an isomorphism from $N^*_{\{0,1\}}$ onto $N_{\{0,1\}}$ which is the identity on $N^*_{\{1\}}'$, maps $N^*_{\{0\}}$ onto $N_{\{0\}}$,
- (e) for $\alpha \in N^*_{\{0,1\}} \setminus N^*_{\{1\}}'$, if $\beta = \min(N'_{\{1\}} - \alpha)$, then $OP_{N_{\{0,1\}}, N^*_{\{0,1\}}}(\alpha) \in \sup(A \cap \beta, \beta)$,

Claim 2.5. *There is a witness.*

We can find $\mathcal{C} \prec_{L_\mu, L_\mu} (H(\chi^*), \in, <^*_{\chi^*})$ such that $||\mathcal{C}|| = \mu$, ${}^\kappa\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$, $\mu+1 \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ and $(M, \mathcal{B}_\delta, \delta) \in \mathcal{C}$. As $\mathcal{B}_\delta \prec_{L_{\mu^+, \mu^+}} (H(\chi^*), \in, <^*_{\chi^*})$ it follows that there is a function f , $\text{dom}(f) = \mathcal{C}$, $\text{rang}(f) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\delta$, $f \upharpoonright \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{B}_\delta$ is the identity, f preserves satisfaction of L_{μ^+, μ^+} formulas, i.e. f is an isomorphism.

Let $\mathcal{N} \prec (H(\chi^*), \in, <^*_{\chi^*})$ be such that $\{\mathcal{B}_\delta, \mathcal{C}, f, \delta\} \in \mathcal{N}$, $||\mathcal{N}|| = \kappa$. Let $\mathcal{N}_1 = \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{N}_0 = \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{B}_\delta$. Let $\mathcal{N}'_0 = f(\mathcal{N}_1)$, note that $\mathcal{N}'_0 \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0$. Let $\delta_0 = f(\delta_1)$. W.l.o.g. we can assume that $\mathcal{N} = Sk(\mathcal{N}_0, \mathcal{N}_1)$. Let $\mathcal{N}_\emptyset = \mathcal{B}_\delta \cap \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{N}$. We claim that $(\mathcal{N}_\emptyset, \mathcal{N}_0, \mathcal{N}'_1, \mathcal{N}, \delta_0, \delta_1)$ is a witness. Note that

(*) if $\alpha \in \mathcal{N} \cap (\delta+1)$, then $\min(\mathcal{C} - \alpha) \in \mathcal{N}_1$.

Let us check condition (3). Suppose that $\alpha \in \mathcal{N} - \mathcal{N}_1$ and let $\beta = \min(\mathcal{N}_1 - \alpha)$. Note that by (*) we have $\beta = \min(\mathcal{C} - \alpha)$. But as $\mu+1 \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C} \prec (H(\chi^*), \in, <^*_{\chi^*})$ we must have $\text{cf}(\beta) \geq \mu^+$.

Now to verify (4), suppose that there is a set A such that the conclusion of (4) fails. Then A is definable from: $\mathcal{N}_1$, the isomorphism type of $\mathcal{N}$ over $\mathcal{N}_1$ and the isomorphism type of $\mathcal{N}_0$ over $\mathcal{N}'_0$. As $\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_\emptyset$ are in $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C} \prec_{L_\mu, L_\mu} (H(\chi^*), \in, <^*_{\chi^*})$ and $\kappa < \mu$ it follows that such set A is in $\mathcal{C}$. But now the witness itself is a counterexample. Note that clause (e) follows from (*).

Claim 2.6. *If there is a witness, then there is a system as required, (for our $\delta \in E \cap S_{\geq \mu^+}^\lambda$).*

By induction on $\alpha < \mu^+$ we define $\delta_\alpha < \delta$ and a system $\langle N'_{\{\alpha\}}, N_{\{\alpha\}}, N_{\{\alpha, \beta\}} \rangle$, for $\beta < \alpha$.

Suppose that we have defined the system for all $\beta < \alpha$. Let $A = \bigcup \{N_u : u \in [\{\delta_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}]^{\leq 2}\}$. Let $N'_{\{\alpha\}}$ and $N_{\{\alpha\}}, N_{\{0, \alpha\}}$ be as in the definition of a witness, for the above A . For $\beta < \alpha$ let $N_{\{\beta, \alpha\}} = Sk(N_{\{\beta\}}, N'_{\{\alpha\}})$. It follows that N_α is isomorphic to $\mathcal{N}_0$ and $N_{\{\beta, \alpha\}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{N}$. Let $\delta_\alpha = OP_{N_{\{0, \alpha\}}, N^*_{\{0, 1\}}}(\alpha_0)$. Note that $I = \{\delta_\alpha : \alpha < \mu^+\}$ is such that $\sup(I) = \delta$ and $N_u \cap I = u$ for every $u \in [I]^{\leq 2}$. This finishes the proof.

3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Start with a model satisfying the assumptions of the theorem, i.e., we have $2^{\aleph_l} = \aleph_{l+1}$ for $l < 4$, $\{C_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_4\}$ is a square sequence and $\langle \bar{M}^i : i \in W \rangle$ is a diamond for systems, $\diamond_s(\aleph_4, \aleph_1, \aleph_1, \aleph_0)$. Let $\bar{M}^i = \langle M_u^i : u \in [\bar{B}_i]^{\leq 2} \rangle$ and let $\bar{B}_i = \{\alpha_\epsilon^i : \epsilon < \omega_1\}$ be the increasing enumeration.

Definition 3.1. (1) A set $b \subseteq \alpha$ is $\bar{Q} \upharpoonright \alpha$ -closed, i.e. $\alpha \in b \Rightarrow a_\alpha \subseteq b$.

(2) $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_\mu$ is the family of FS-iterations $\bar{Q} = \langle P_\alpha, Q_\alpha, a_\alpha, : \alpha < \alpha^* \rangle$ such that:

- (a) $a_\alpha \subseteq \alpha$,
- (b) $|a_\alpha| \leq \mu$,

- (c) $\beta \in a_\alpha \Rightarrow a_\beta \subseteq a_\alpha$,
- (d) for $b \subseteq \alpha$, $P_b^* = \{p \in P_\alpha : \text{dom}(p) \subseteq b \text{ and } (\forall \beta \in \text{dom}(p))p(\beta) \text{ is a } P_{b \cap \alpha}^* \text{ name}\}$,
- (e) Q_α is a $P_{a_\alpha}^*$ -name, (see 3.2 below),
- (f) $P_{\alpha^*}^*$ has the property K , (= Knaster).

Remark . The above definition proceeds by induction on α^* , so part (d) is not circular.

Lemma 3.2. Suppose $\bar{Q} = \langle P_\alpha, Q_\alpha, a_\alpha, : \alpha < \alpha^* \rangle \in \mathcal{K}$. If $b \subseteq \alpha^*$ is $\bar{Q}$ -closed, then $P_b^* \triangleleft P_{\alpha^*}^*$.

PROOF Straightforward, see [Sh 288] and [Sh 289].

Let $f : \omega_1^{>2} \rightarrow \aleph_1$ be one-to-one, such that if $\eta \triangleleft \nu$, then $f(\eta) \triangleleft f(\nu)$. For $\rho \in \omega_1^2$ let $w_\rho = \{f(\rho \upharpoonright i) : i < \aleph_1\} \in [\aleph_1]^{\aleph_1}$. Note that if $\rho_1 \neq \rho_2$ in ω_1^2 , then $|w_{\rho_1} \cap w_{\rho_2}| < \aleph_1$. Let R be the countable support forcing adding $\aleph_4$ many Cohen subsets of ω_1 , ρ_i ($i < \omega_4$). Note that in V^R , $\{w_{\rho_i} : i \in \omega_4\}$ is a family of almost disjoint, uncountable subsets of ω_1 . Let $B_i = \{\alpha_\epsilon^i : \epsilon \in w_{\rho_i}\}$. Note that $\{M_u^i : u \in [B_i]^{\leq 2}\}$ is still a system of models on i , hence without loss of generality we can assume that $w_{\rho_i} = \omega_1$. For $\zeta \in \omega_1$ define $B_i(\zeta) = \{\alpha_\epsilon^i : \epsilon < \zeta\}$. In V^R we shall define an iteration $\langle P_i, Q_i, a_i : i < \chi \rangle \in \mathcal{K}_{\aleph_4}$. Working in V^R , we define $\bar{Q} \upharpoonright i$, by induction on $i < \omega_4$, and we prove that it is as in 3.1 (in V^R).

We call i good if it satisfies: $i \in W$, each M_u^i has a predetermined predicate describing $\bar{Q} \upharpoonright M_u^i$ (as an R -name, with the limit $\bar{P}_u^i$) and an $R \upharpoonright M_u^i * \bar{P}_u^i$ -name f for a function from $\omega^2 \times \omega^2$ into ω^2 and each M_u^i is $\bar{Q}$ -closed. (Recall that we do not distinguish between the model M_u^i and its universe). In this case we put $a_i = \bigcup \{M_u^i : u \in [B_i]^{\leq 2}\}$ and define Q_i below.

If i is not good we put $a_i = \emptyset$ and define Q_i to be the Cohen forcing, i.e., $Q_i = (\omega^{>2}, \triangleleft)$. We can assume that if $\alpha \in B_i$, then Q_α is Cohen, (or just replace B_i by $\{\alpha + 1 : \alpha \in B_i\}$). For $\alpha \in B_i$, let r_α be the Cohen real forced by Q_α .

Remark . The reason we add $\aleph_4$ almost disjoint subsets of ω_1 is that, in V^R , if $i \neq j$ are good and $\text{otp}(C_i) = \text{otp}(C_j)$, then the systems associated with i and j are almost disjoint, i.e., there is $\zeta \in \omega_1$ such that

$$\left(\bigcup \{M_u^i : u \in [B_i]^{\leq 2}\} \right) \cap \left(\bigcup \{M_u^j : u \in [B_j]^{\leq 2}\} \right) \subseteq \left(\bigcup \{M_u^i : u \in [B_i(\zeta)]^{\leq 2}\} \right) \cap \left(\bigcup \{M_u^j : u \in [B_j(\zeta)]^{\leq 2}\} \right)$$

Note that if $\text{otp}(C_i) \neq \text{otp}(C_j)$ then we have almost disjointness by 2.2(D)(i).

Notation For $\xi, \zeta \in \omega_1$ let $Z_{\xi, \zeta}^i = M_{\{\alpha_\xi^i, \alpha_\zeta^i\}}^i \cup M_{\{\alpha_\xi^i\}}^i \cup M_{\{\alpha_\zeta^i\}}^i$, $Z_\xi^i = M_{\{\alpha_\xi^i\}}^i$.

Now we fix a good i . Our goal is to define Q_i .

Definition 3.3. For $p, q \in R$ (or in $P_{\omega_4}^*$), $\text{dom}(p), \text{dom}(q) \subseteq Z_{0,1}^i$ we say that p and q are dual if $OP_{Z_1^i, Z_0^i}(p \upharpoonright Z_0^i) = q \upharpoonright Z_1^i$ and $OP_{Z_1^i, Z_0^i}(q \upharpoonright Z_0^i) = p \upharpoonright Z_1^i$.

Using $G_{R \upharpoonright M_\emptyset^i}$ we choose, by induction on $k < \omega$, conditions $r_\eta^i, r_\eta^{i,l} \in R$ for $\eta \in {}^{k2}$, $l < 2$, such that:

- (a) $r_\eta^i \in (R \upharpoonright Z_0^i) / G_{R \upharpoonright M_\emptyset^i}$.
- (b) $\nu \triangleleft \eta \Rightarrow r_\nu^i \leq r_\eta^i$.

- (c) if $l = m + 1$, if $\eta \in {}^m 2$, $l < 2$, then $r_\eta^{i,l} \in (R \upharpoonright Z_{0,1}^i)/G_{R \upharpoonright M_\emptyset^i}$ and $r_\eta^i \leq r_\eta^{i,l} \upharpoonright Z_0^i \leq r_{\eta \smallfrown \langle l \rangle}^i$ and $OP_{Z_1^i, Z_0^i}(r_\eta^i) \leq r_\eta^{i,l} \upharpoonright Z_1^i \leq OP_{Z_1^i, Z_0^i}(r_{\eta \smallfrown \langle 1-l \rangle}^i)$, and $r_\eta^{i,0}$ and $r_\eta^{i,1}$ are dual.
- (d) $r_\eta^{i,l}$ forces that $A_k^{\eta,l} = \{p_{k,n}^{\eta,l} : n \in \omega\}$ is a predense subset of $P_{Z_{0,1}^i}^*$, such that each $p_{k,n}^{\eta,l}$ forces the value $f_{k,n}^{\eta,l}$ of $f(r_{\alpha_0^i}, r_{\alpha_1^i}) \upharpoonright k$.
- (e) $A_k^{\eta,0}$ and $A_k^{\eta,1}$ are dual, i.e. for every $m \in \omega$, $p_{k,m}^{\eta,0}$ and $p_{k,m}^{\eta,1}$ are dual. Moreover if $k_1 < k_2$, then $A_{k_2}^{\eta,l}$ refines $A_{k_1}^{\eta,l}$.

Suppose we have r_η^i . We define $r_\eta^{i,0}$, $r_\eta^{i,1}$ and $A_k^{\eta,0}$, $A_k^{\eta,1}$ as follows.

1. Let $r_1 = r_\eta^i \cup OP_{Z_1^i, Z_0^i}(r_\eta^i)$.
2. Let $r_{1,0} \geq r_1$, $r_{1,0} \in R \upharpoonright Z_{0,1}$, forces a maximal antichain $A_{1,0}$ of $P_{Z_{0,1}}^*$, such that each element of $A_{1,0}$ forces a value of $f(r_{\alpha_0^i}, r_{\alpha_1^i}) \upharpoonright k$.
3. Let $r_2 = OP_{Z_1^i, Z_0^i}(r_{1,0} \upharpoonright Z_0^i) \cup OP_{Z_0^i, Z_1^i}(r_{1,0} \upharpoonright Z_1^i)$. Let $r_{2,1} \geq r_2$, $r_{2,1} \in R \upharpoonright Z_{0,1}$ forces $A_{2,1}$ to be a predense subset of $P_{Z_{0,1}}^*$ such that each element of $A_{2,1}$ forces a value of $f(r_{\alpha_0^i}, r_{\alpha_1^i}) \upharpoonright k$. Moreover, $A_{2,1} = \bigcup \{A_p : p \in A_{1,0}\}$, where for every $q \in A_p$ we have $q \geq OP_{Z_1^i, Z_0^i}(p \upharpoonright Z_0^i) \cup OP_{Z_0^i, Z_1^i}(p \upharpoonright Z_1^i)$.
4. Let $r_3 = OP_{Z_1^i, Z_0^i}(r_{2,1} \upharpoonright Z_0^i) \cup OP_{Z_0^i, Z_1^i}(r_{2,1} \upharpoonright Z_1^i)$.
5. Let $r_{3,0} = r_3 \cup r_{1,0}$ (note: $r_{3,0}$ is dual to $r_{2,1}$). Let $A_{3,0} = \{p \cup OP_{Z_1^i, Z_0^i}(q \upharpoonright Z_0^i) \cup OP_{Z_0^i, Z_1^i}(q \upharpoonright Z_1^i) : q \in A_p\}$.
6. Let $r_\eta^{i,0} = r_{3,0}$, $r_\eta^{i,1} = r_{2,1}$, $A_k^{\eta,0} = A_{3,0}$ and $A_k^{\eta,1} = A_{2,1}$.

Let for $\eta \in {}^\omega 2$, $r_\eta^i = \bigcup_{k < \omega} r_{\eta \upharpoonright k}^i$. In V choose $\langle \eta_\epsilon^* : \epsilon < \omega_1 \rangle$, distinct members of ${}^\omega 2$. Recall that ρ_j ($j < \aleph_4$) are the Cohen subsets of ω_1 forced by R . In $V[\langle \rho_j : j \in \{i\} \cup a_i \rangle]$ we can find $w^i \in [\omega_1]^{\omega_1}$ such that

- (α) if $\epsilon \in w^i$ then $OP_{Z_\epsilon^i, Z_0^i}(r_{\eta_\epsilon^*}^i) \in G_{R \upharpoonright Z_\epsilon^i}$,
- (β) if $\epsilon_0 < \epsilon_1$ are in w^i , $l = TV(\eta_{\epsilon_0}^* \smallfrown_{lx} \eta_{\epsilon_1}^*)$, then
$$OP_{Z_{\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1}^i, Z_{0,1}^i}(r_{\eta_{\epsilon_0}^* \cap \eta_{\epsilon_1}^*}^{i,l}) \in G_{R \upharpoonright Z_{\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1}^i}.$$

We choose the members of w^i inductively using the fact that R has $(< \aleph_1)$ -support.

Notation For $\xi \in w^i$ denote $r_\xi^i = r_{\alpha_\xi^i}$.

Let H be R -generic and G be $P_{a_i}^*$ -generic. In $V[H][G]$ we define Q_i . A condition in Q_i is $(u, v, \bar{v}, \bar{m}, F_0, F_1)$, where:

- (1) u is a finite subset of w^i .
- (2) v is a finite set of elements of the form (η, ρ) , where
 - (a) $\eta, \rho \in {}^{\omega > 2}$, $\text{lh}(\eta) = \text{lh}(\rho)$, $\rho \neq \eta$,
 - (b) $\eta \smallfrown r_\alpha^i$, $\rho \smallfrown r_\beta^i$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in u$ and if $\nu = \eta_\alpha^* \cap \eta_\beta^*$ then for every $\gamma \in u$ we have: if $\eta \smallfrown r_\gamma^i$, then $\eta_\gamma^* \upharpoonright (\text{lh}(\nu) + 1) = \eta_\alpha^* \upharpoonright (\text{lh}(\nu) + 1)$, and if $\rho \smallfrown r_\gamma^i$, then $\eta_\gamma^* \upharpoonright (\text{lh}(\nu) + 1) = \eta_\beta^* \upharpoonright (\text{lh}(\nu) + 1)$.
- (3) $\bar{v}$ is a function from v into ${}^{\omega > 2}$ such that for $(\eta, \rho) \in v$ we have: $\bar{v}(\eta, \rho)$ is such that there is $\alpha, \beta \in u$ such that $\eta \smallfrown r_\alpha^i$, $\rho \smallfrown r_\beta^i$ and $\bar{v}(\eta, \rho) = \eta_\alpha^* \cap \eta_\beta^*$, ($\bar{v}$ is well defined by (2)).
- (4) $\bar{m}$ is a function from v to ω . For $(\eta, \rho) \in v$, $\bar{m}(\eta, \rho)$ is such that for every $\alpha, \beta \in u$ such that $\eta \smallfrown r_\alpha^i$, $\rho \smallfrown r_\beta^i$, we have $OP_{Z_{\alpha, \beta}^i, Z_{0,1}^i}(p_{\text{lh}(\eta), \bar{m}(\eta, \rho)}^{\nu, l}) \in G$, where $l = TV(\eta_\alpha^* \smallfrown_{lx} \eta_\beta^*)$ and $\nu = \eta_\alpha^* \cap \eta_\beta^*$.

- (5) For $l = 0, 1$, F_l is a function from v into ${}^\omega 2$, defined by: for $(\eta, \rho) \in v$, $F_l(\eta, \rho)$ is the value of $\check{f}(r_0, r_1) \upharpoonright lh(\eta)$ forced by $P_{lh(\eta), \bar{m}(\eta, \rho)}^{\bar{v}(\eta, \rho), l}$.
- (6) For $(\eta, \rho), (\eta_1, \rho_1) \in v$, if $\eta \triangleleft \eta_1$ and $\rho \triangleleft \rho_1$, then $F_l(\eta, \rho) \triangleleft F_l(\eta_1, \rho_1)$, for $l = 0, 1$.

Order: $(u, v, \bar{v}, \bar{m}, F_0, F_1) \leq (u^1, v^1, \bar{v}^1, \bar{m}^1, F_0^1, F_1^1)$ if

- (7) $u \subseteq u^1$,
 (8) $v \subseteq v^1$,
 (9) $F_l = F_l^1 \upharpoonright v$, $\bar{v} = \bar{v}^1 \upharpoonright v$, $\bar{m} = \bar{m}^1 \upharpoonright v$, $l = 0, 1$.

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose (q_α, p_α) , (for $\alpha \in \omega_1$), are in $P_{a_i}^* * Q_i$, q_α forces p_α to be a real 6-tuple in Q_i , not just a $P_{a_i}^*$ -name of such a tuple, $\text{dom}(q_\alpha)$ ($\alpha \in \omega_1$) form a delta system with the root Δ , $\zeta \in \omega_1$. Let $b = \bigcup \{M_u^i : u \in [B_i(\zeta)]^{\leq 2}\}$. Suppose $\Delta - \{i\} \subseteq b$ and $\text{dom}(q_\alpha) \cap b = \Delta$ for $\alpha \in \omega_1$.*

Then there is an uncountable set $E \subseteq \omega_1$ such that for every $\alpha, \beta \in E$, (q_α, p_α) and (q_β, p_β) are compatible, moreover if $q \in P_b^$, $q \geq q_\alpha \upharpoonright b$, $q_\beta \upharpoonright b$, then $q, (q_\alpha, p_\alpha)$ and (q_β, p_β) are compatible.*

PROOF By thinning out we can find an uncountable set $E \subseteq \omega_1$ such that:

- (a) For $\alpha \in E$ let $w_\alpha = \bigcup \{u \in [B_i]^{<2} : \text{dom}(q_\alpha) \cap M_u^i \neq \emptyset\}$, (each w_α is finite). The sets w_α , ($\alpha \in E$) form a delta system with the root w and if $\alpha < \beta$, $\xi \in w_\alpha, \zeta \in w_\beta$, then $\xi \leq \zeta$.
- (b) u^{p_α} ($\alpha \in E$) form a delta system with the root u and $\alpha < \beta$, $\xi \in u^{p_\alpha}, \zeta \in u^{p_\beta}$, then $\xi \leq \zeta$, $|u^{p_\alpha}| = n^*$.
- (c) $v^{p_\alpha} = v^*$ for $\alpha \in E$ and the structures $(u^{p_\alpha}, \{q_\alpha(\xi) : \xi \in u^{p_\alpha}\}, v^*, \{\eta_\xi^* \upharpoonright m^* : \xi \in u^{p_\alpha}\})$ are isomorphic, (isomorphism given by the order preserving bijection between respective u^{p_α} 's), where m^* is such that $lh(\eta_\xi^* \cap \eta_\zeta^*) < m^*$ for every $\xi \neq \zeta$ in u^{p_α} .

Lemma 3.5. P_{i+1} has the property K .

PROOF Let $\{p_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_1\}$ be an uncountable subset of P_{i+1} . W.l.o.g. we can assume that $\text{dom}(p_\alpha)$, ($\alpha \in \omega_1$) form a delta system with the root Δ . We have to find an uncountable subset $E \subseteq \omega_1$ such that for any $\alpha, \beta \in E$, p_α and p_β are compatible. We prove it by induction on $k = |\Delta|$.

For $k = 0$, trivial. For the induction step assume that $\Delta = \{i_0, \dots, i_k\}$ ordered by $\triangleleft$, where for $\alpha, \beta < \omega_1$, we define $\alpha \triangleleft \beta$ iff $\text{otp}(C_\alpha) < \text{otp}(C_\beta)$ or $\text{otp}(C_\alpha) = \text{otp}(C_\beta)$ and $\alpha < \beta$.

By the induction hypothesis there is an uncountable set $E' \subseteq \omega_1$ such that for $\alpha, \beta \in E'$, $p_\alpha \upharpoonright \bigcup_{l < k} a_{i_l}$ and $p_\beta \upharpoonright \bigcup_{l < k} a_{i_l}$ are compatible. Note that there is $\zeta \in \omega_1$ such that $a_{i_k} \cap (\bigcup_{l < k} a_{i_l}) \subseteq \bigcup \{M_u^{i_k} : u \in [B_{i_k}(\zeta)]^{\leq 2}\}$, (see 2.2(D)). Now use the previous lemma.

Now suppose that $G(i)$ is Q_i -generic. Let

$$A' = \bigcup \{u : \exists (v, \bar{v}, \bar{m}, F_0, F_1), (u, v, \bar{v}, \bar{m}, F_0, F_1) \in G(i)\}.$$

In $V[G]$ let $A = \{r_\alpha^i : \alpha \in A'\}$ and let $f_l : [A]^2 \rightarrow {}^\omega 2$ be defined by:

$$f_l(r_\alpha^i, r_\beta^i) = \bigcup \{F_l(\eta, \rho) : \exists (u, v, \bar{v}, \bar{m}, F_0, F_1) \in G(i), \\ \alpha, \beta \in u, (\eta, \rho) \in v, \eta \triangleleft r_\alpha^i, \rho \triangleleft r_\beta^i\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup \{v : \exists (u, \bar{v}, \bar{m}, F_0, F_1) : (u, v, \bar{v}, \bar{m}, F_0, F_1) \in G(i)\}.$

Lemma 3.6. (1) For every $\alpha, \beta \in A'$ and $n \in \omega$ there is $(\eta, \rho) \in \mathcal{V}$ such that
 $\text{lh}(\eta) = \text{lh}(\rho) \geq n$ and $\eta \triangleleft r_\alpha$ and $\rho \triangleleft r_\beta$,
(2) A is uncountable,
(3) f_0, f_1 are continuous,
(4) for every $(\alpha, \beta) \in [A]^2$, if $l = TV(\eta_\alpha^* \triangleleft_{lx} \eta_\beta^*)$, then $f(r_\alpha^i, r_\beta^i) = f_l(r_\alpha^i, r_\beta^i)$.

PROOF (1) and (2) follow by a density argument. To prove (1) suppose that $(p, q) \in P_i * Q_i$, p forces that $\alpha, \beta \in u^q$. W.l.o.g. $\alpha, \beta \in \text{dom}(p)$. Let $p_1 \in P_i$ be such that $\text{dom}(p) = \text{dom}(p_1)$, $p(\zeta) = p_1(\zeta)$ for $\zeta \in \text{dom}(p) \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}$, $p(\alpha) \triangleleft p_1(\alpha)$, $p(\beta) \triangleleft p_1(\beta)$, $\text{lh}(p_1(\alpha)) = \text{lh}(p_1(\beta)) \geq n$, (remember that Q_α, Q_β are Cohen). Let $\eta = p_1(\alpha)$, $\rho = p_1(\beta)$, $\nu = \eta_\alpha^* \cap \eta_\beta^*$, $l = TV(\eta_\alpha^* \triangleleft_{lx} \eta_\beta^*)$. Let $m \in \omega$ be such that $OP_{Z_{\alpha, \beta}, Z_{0,1}}(p_{\text{lh}(\eta), m}^{\nu, l})$ is compatible with p_1 , and let p_2 be the common upper bound. Now define $q_1 \geq q$ as follows. $u^{q_1} = u^q$, $v^{q_1} = v^q \cup \{(\eta, \rho)\}$, $\bar{\nu}^{q_1}(\eta, \rho) = \nu$, $\bar{m}^{q_1}(\eta, \rho) = m$, $F_l^{q_1}(\eta, \rho)$ is the value forced by $p_{\text{lh}(\eta), m}^{\nu, l}$. Hence $(p_2, q_1) \geq (p, q)$ and it forces what is required.

To prove (2) it is enough to show, in V^R , that for every $\alpha \in \omega_1$ and $(p, q) \in P_i * Q_i$ there is $\beta > \alpha$ and $(p_1, q_1) \geq (p, q)$, such that $\beta \in u^{q_1}$. Let $\beta > \alpha$ be such that $\text{dom}(p) \cap Z_{\gamma, \beta}^i \subseteq M_\emptyset^i$ and $\beta > \gamma$ for every $\gamma \in u^q$. Let $\gamma \in u^q$ be such that $(\eta_{\gamma_1}^* \cap \eta_\beta^*) \triangleleft (\eta_\gamma^* \cap \eta_\beta^*)$ for every $\gamma_1 \in u^q$. Define condition $q_1(\beta) = q(\gamma)$ and let p_1 be a condition extending p and each of conditions $OP_{Z_{\gamma_1, \beta}^i, Z_{0,1}^i}(p_{\text{lh}(\eta), \bar{m}(\eta, \rho)}^{\bar{\nu}(\eta, \rho), l})$ such that $(\eta, \rho) \in v$, $\eta \triangleleft q(\gamma_1)$, $\rho \triangleleft q(\gamma)$ and $l = TV(\eta_{\gamma_1}^* \triangleleft \eta_\beta^*)$. Finally extend q to q_1 such that $u^{q_1} = u^q \cup \{\beta\}$.

Condition (3) follows from (1) and (5) and (6) in the definition of Q_i .

To prove (4) it is enough to show that for every $n \in \omega$, $f(r_\alpha^i, r_\beta^i) \upharpoonright n = f_l(r_\alpha^i, r_\beta^i) \upharpoonright n$. By condition (1) there is $(\eta, \rho) \in V$ such that $k = \text{lh}(\eta) \geq n$ and $\eta \triangleleft r_\alpha^i$ and $\rho \triangleleft r_\beta^i$. Recall that $p = p_{\text{lh}(\eta), \bar{m}(\eta, \rho)}^{\bar{\nu}(\eta, \rho), l}$ forces that $f(r_\alpha^i, r_\beta^i) \upharpoonright k = h$ for some fixed h . Now working in V consider $(r_{\eta_\alpha^* \cap \eta_\beta^*}^{i, l}, p) \in R * P_i \upharpoonright Z_{0,1}^i$. By the construction the condition $(r', p) = OP_{Z_{\alpha, \beta}^i, Z_{0,1}^i}(r_{\eta_\alpha^* \cap \eta_\beta^*}^{i, l}, p) \in H * G$, and forces that $f(r_\alpha^i, r_\beta^i) = h$. On the other hand, by definition $F_l(\eta, \rho) = h$ and $F_l(\eta, \rho) \triangleleft f_l(r_\alpha^i, r_\beta^i)$. This finishes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [ARSh] Uri Abraham, Matatyahu Rubin, and Saharon Shelah, *On the consistency of some partition theorems for continuous colorings, and the structure of $\aleph_1$ -dense real order types*, Ann. Pure. Appl. Logic **29** (1985), 123-206.
- [Sh 473] Saharon Shelah, *Possibly every real function is continuous on a non-meager set*, Publications de L'Institut Mathematique, Nouvelle serie tome **57** (71), 1995, 47-60.
- [Sh 300F] Saharon Shelah, *Universal Classes - Classification Theory*, forthcoming.
- [Sh 289] Saharon Shelah, *Strong Partition Relations Below the Power Set: Consistency, Was Sierpinski Right - II?* In: Proceedings of the conference on Set Theory and its Applications in honor of A. Hajnal and V.T. Sos, Budapest 1991.
- [Sh 288] Saharon Shelah, *Consistency of positive partition theorems for graphs and models*, In: Set Theory and Its Applications Conference, York University, J. Steprans and S. Watson eds, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1401, Springer-Verlag 1989, 167-193.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS,, THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM,, JERUSALEM 91904, ISRAEL

E-mail address: rabus@mathstat.yorku.ca shelah@math.huji.ac.il